

FLORIDA LAW WEEKLY SEX CRIMES EDITION BY DENNIS NICEWANDER

Vol. 33, No. 25, June 20, 2008

M.D. v. State, 33 Fla. L. Weekly D1572 (Fla. 1st DCA 2008):

Juvenile victim was entitled to have trial court order HIV testing of juvenile defendant under statute requiring court to order testing when requested by victim's legal guardian or parent after lewd or lascivious battery had been committed on a person less than 16 years of age, even though legislature renumbered subsections of battery statute and HIV testing statute referred to subsections no longer dealing with the offenses; a literal interpretation of HIV statute would have rendered an absurd result contrary to legislature's clear intent that those charged with sexual offenses under battery statute be subject to HIV testing.

Discussion: The enumerated statutes that qualify for the provisions of 960.003 included the statute numbers of the Lewd and Lascivious statute that existed prior to October 1, 1999. So even though the technical numbers do not match up, the appellate court ruled that the legislative intent was clear.