

FLORIDA LAW WEEKLY SEX CRIMES EDITION BY DENNIS NICEWANDER

Vol. 32, No. 50, December 14, 2007

Clark v. State, 31 Fla. L. Weekly D2871 (Fla. 1st DCA 2007):

Testimony of defense expert, a forensic and addiction psychiatrist, opining that victim of alleged sexual battery was an alcoholic prone to alcoholic blackouts, during which she remained functional and would have been able to consent to sexual activity without remembering anything she did, and that victim was not physically helpless to resist during the sexual encounter as alleged, was admissible, as testimony was relevant to whether victim was physically helpless to resist and tended to establish reasonable doubt as to consent.

Exclusion of defense expert's testimony, opining that victim of alleged sexual battery was an alcoholic prone to alcoholic blackouts, during which she remained functional and would have been able to consent to sexual activity without remembering anything she did, and that victim was not physically helpless to resist during the sexual encounter as alleged, was reversible error, as jurors never heard relevant, admissible testimony that might have refined and clarified their view of State's circumstantial evidence.

Where relevant evidence tends in any way, even indirectly, to establish a reasonable doubt of defendant's guilt, it is error to deny its admission.